



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/779,382 02/08/2001		02/08/2001	Adrian P. Wise	94100422(EP)USC1X1C1D11 7169 P	
22887	7590	01/27/2006		EXAMINER	
DISCOVIS	ION AS	SOCIATES	NGUYEN, DUSTIN		
INTELLEC	TUAL PR	ROPERTY DEVELOR	PMENT		
2355 MAIN STREET, SUITE 200				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, C	IRVINE, CA 92614			2154	
				DATE MAILED: 01/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/779,382	WISE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dustin Nguyen	2154					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 No.	ovember 2005.						
,	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	, 						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-5,7-13 and 15-17 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-13 and 15-17</u> is/are rejected.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	4\ 🗖 Intensions Summons	(PTO-413)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Page 2

1. Claims 1-5, 7-13, 15-17 are presented for examination.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/23/2005 has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. Examiner requests Applicants to resubmit Foreign Patent or Published Foreign Patent
Application and Other Documents as mentioned in the IDS filed on 02/08/2001 to be considered.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 10/24/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/779,382 Page 3

Art Unit: 2154

5. As per remarks, Applicants' argued that (1) White does not teach, suggest or describe control circuit that is coupled to and controls the input circuit to operate selectively in a first mode to receive raw byte data at the port from an external source and in a second mode to receive token at the port from the external source.

As to point (1), it is rejected for similar reasons as stated in previous Office Action.

Furthermore, White discloses an input data stream is received by the compression unit from a data source called the host [i.e. an external source] [Figure 1a; col 8, lines 25-28] and uncompressed bytes in an input byte stream are input into the compression unit over line 244 [i.e. data receive from an external source] [244, Figure 7; and col 18, lines 34-36]. Also, White discloses when new input data is received by the compression unit, the present invention checks to see if a "string" of at least 2 bytes in the new input data matches the string in the history array, if so, this string of input data is encoded or, if it is not, if it represented as raw data [i.e. first mode to raw data and second mode to token] [col 10, lines 51-56].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/779,382

Art Unit: 2154

8. Claims 1-5 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whiting et al. [US Patent No 5,016,009], in view of Dargel et al. [US Patent No 4,398,176].

Page 4

9. As per claim 1, Whiting discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a decoder interface comprising:

an input circuit that has a port for receiving encoded data from an external source [232, Figure 7]; and

control circuitry that is coupled to and controls the input circuit [230, Figure 7] to operate selectively in a first mode [233, Figure 7; and col 18, lines 50-col 19, lines 10] to receive raw byte data at the port from said external source [i.e. data character stream] [Abstract; col 8, lines 25-28 and col 18, lines 34-36] and a second mode to receive tokens at the port from said external source [i.e. receive string] [Figure 4; col 8, lines 16-28; col 9, lines 41-48; and col 10, lines 51-56].

Whiting does not specifically disclose a plurality of stages, including an initial and an intermediate stage, said tokens having information for preparing said initial and/or said intermediate stage for processing.

Dargel discloses a plurality of stages, including an initial and an intermediate stage [Figure 1], said tokens having information for preparing said initial and/or said intermediate stage for processing [i.e. coded commands] [Abstract; col 2, lines 66-col 3, lines 13; and col 15, lines 67-col 16, lines 13].

Art Unit: 2154

It would have been obvious to a person skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Whiting and Dargel because Dargel's teaching of multiple stages would allow to speed up the processing of information by reducing communication overhead.

- 10. As per claim 2, Whiting discloses wherein the port comprises a coded data port [col 20, lines 42-50].
- 11. As per claim 3, Whiting discloses a microprocessor interface [5, Figure 1a; and col 8, lines 22-25].
- 12. As per claim 4, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 3.
- 13. As per claim 5, Whiting discloses the control circuitry includes a byte code signal for selecting the first or second mode [col 18, lines 35-49].
- 14. As per claims 10-13, they are method claimed of claims 1-5, they are rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claims 1-5.
- 15. Claims 7-9, and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whiting et al. [US Patent No 5,016,009], in view of Dargel et al. [US Patent No 4,398,176], and further in view of Horvath et al. [US Patent No 5,450,599].

Application/Control Number: 09/779,382 Page 6

Art Unit: 2154

As per claim 7, Whiting and Dargel do not specifically disclose the received raw byte data is placed into tokens. Horvath discloses the received raw byte data is placed into tokens [col 8, lines 3-24]. It would have been obvious to a person skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Whiting, Dargel and Horvath because Horvath's teaching would allow to reduce processing overhead to increase system performance.

- 17. As per claim 8, Horvath discloses the first byte of the raw byte data causes a token header to be generated [Figure 2; and col 4, lines 15-30].
- 18. As per claim 9, Horvath discloses subsequent bytes of the raw byte data appended to the token header to form tokens [Figure 2; and col 4, lines 42-58].
- 19. As per claims 15-17, they are method claimed of claims 7-9, they are rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claims 7-9.
- 20. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire **3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days** from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application (see 35 U.S.C 133, M.P.E.P 710.02, 710.02(b)).

Application/Control Number: 09/779,382

Art Unit: 2154

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dustin Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3971. The

examiner can normally be reached on flex schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Follansbee John can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dustin Nguyen Examiner

Page 7

Art Unit 2154